

GRAHAM

to a man who has been pretty much his own way with Newburger Hen- then ran his own fund. One of his contemporaries usually said and did the rest of the Wall Street that nearly everyone who

as too theoretical often and survived and proving his own theories to probably unfortunate. t exactly happen yesterday Graham left his post of his truly big winners) s.

been content to live a La Jolla and spending of France. He no longer get any richer?" And, professional activity of or. "It expresses what I'm glad to hear that it's

o the Rancho La Costa s something of a rarity. omparative isolation of of modern institutional f younger money man- good memory," he says. of being 80 next May— tal, you know, and my k market operations for ctogenarian says finally.

See R 260

BEN
GRAHAM

The Simplest Way to Select Bargain Stocks

You'd be hard pressed to find anyone more knowledgeable about the stock market and the secrets of latching on to real stock values than Benjamin Graham, a man generally regarded as the dean of security analysts. Not only did Graham co-author a book, *Security Analysis*, that's become the bible of the business, but his record of picking winning stocks is legendary on Wall Street.

A millionaire at 35, Graham retired to California some time ago. In recent years he's devoted himself to distilling the methods of stock selection he used successfully for nearly half a century into a few easily followed principles. Now 82, Graham has lately gone into association with investment counselor James B. Rea to establish a fund whose investment policy will be based on those principles. **Graham believes that a doctor handling his own investments should be able to utilize those same principles to achieve an average return of 15 percent a year or better.**

Sitting in the study of his La Jolla oceanfront apartment, Graham outlined the fundamentals of his approach for *Medical Economics* West Coast Editor Bart Sheridan. Here Senior Associate Editor Laton McCartney gives the highlights of their conversation:

- Q. Would you start by telling us how you arrived at the simplified Graham technique?
- A. Well, for the past few years I've been testing the results of selecting undervalued stocks according to a few simple criteria. My research shows that a portfolio put together using such an approach would

From Special Report, *Medical Economics*, September 20, 1976. Copyright © 1976 by Medical Economics Publishing. Reprinted by permission from MEDICAL ECONOMICS magazine.

Q. How do I determine that:

A. There are various tests you could apply, but I favor this simple rule: A company should own at least twice what it owes. **An easy way to check on that is to look at the ratio of stockholders' equity to total assets; if the ratio is at least 50 percent, the company's financial condition can be considered sound.**

Q. What's "stockholders' equity"?

A. Simply put, it's the company's net worth—the amount left over when you subtract its debts from its assets.

Q. Wouldn't I need an accountant to figure that out for me?

A. Not at all. You can easily obtain the figures for total assets and stockholders' equity from the company's annual report, or your broker can get them for you.

Q. Would you give me an example of how the rule works?

A. Say a company has stockholders' equity of \$30 million and total assets of \$50 million, a ratio of 60 percent. Since that's over 50, the company passes the test.

Q. Are there stocks around today that meet this requirement and have P-Es of seven or lower?

A. Oh, yes. Not nearly as many as in the market decline of 1973 and 1974, but there are still plenty; the box on page 49 [Table 9] lists some of them.

Q. Once I've gone through the screening process and settled on my "buy" candidates, how do I go about structuring a portfolio?

A. To give yourself the best odds statistically, the more stocks you have to play with, the better. **A portfolio of 30 would probably be an ideal minimum. If your capital is limited, you can deal in "odd lots"—less than 100 shares of stock.**

Q. How long should I hold onto these stocks?

A. First you set a profit objective for yourself. **An objective of 50 percent of cost should give good results.**

Q. You mean that I should aim for a 50 percent profit on every stock I buy?

SECRET, A, YES. AS 2000 AS A STOCK 2000 UNIT 1000

Q. What if it doesn't reach that objective?

A. You have to set a limit on your holding period in advance. **My research shows that two to three years works out best.** So I recommend this rule: If a stock hasn't met your objective by the end of the second calendar year from the time of purchase, sell it regardless of price. For example, if you bought a stock in September 1976, you'd sell it no later than the end of 1978.

Q. What do I do with the money when I sell off a stock? Reinvest it in other issues that meet your requirements?

A. Usually, yes, with some flexibility dictated by market conditions. In times like the 1974 drop, when you find many good companies whose stocks are selling at low P-E levels, you should take advantage of the situations and put up to 75 percent of your investment capital into common stocks. Conversely, in periods when the market as a whole is overpriced you'd have trouble finding stocks to reinvest in that meet my criteria. In such periods you should have no more than 25 percent of your funds in stocks and the rest in, say, U.S. Government bonds.

Q. Using your strategy what kind of results can I expect?

A. Obviously you're not going to get a 50 percent gain on every stock you buy. If your holding-period limit on a stock expires, you'll have to sell it at a smaller profit or even take a loss. But in the long run, you should average a return of 15 percent a year or better on your total investment, plus dividends and minus commissions. Over all, dividends should amount to more than commissions.

Q. This is the return you'd have gotten over 50 years according to your research?

A. Yes, and the results have been very consistent for successive periods as short as five years. I don't think a shorter period gives the strategy a really fair chance to prove itself. In applying the approach every investor should be prepared financially and psychologically for the possibility of poor short-term results. For example, in the 1973-1974 decline the investor would have lost money on paper,

but if he'd held on and stuck with the approach, he would have recouped in 1975-1976 and gotten his 15 percent average return for the five-year period. If we get a repeat of that situation, the investor should be prepared to ride out the downturn.

- Q. With the Dow around 1000 and many issues at their five-year highs, is there a danger of the kind of drop that followed the overpriced markets of the late 1960s and early 1970s?
- A. I have no particular confidence in my powers—or anyone else's—to predict what will happen with the market, but I do know that if the price level is dangerously high, chances are you will get a serious correction. In my own tests there were a number of periods of overvaluation, and the number of stocks available at attractive prices was very small; that proved a warning that the market as a whole was too high.
- Q. Can you summarize the key to making your approach work?
- A. The investor needs the patience to apply these simple criteria consistently over a long enough stretch so that the statistical probabilities will operate in his favor.

A SAMPLING OF BARGAIN STOCKS

The following stocks meet the selection criteria recommended by Benjamin Graham in the accompanying article—a P-E ratio of seven or less and an equity-asset ratio of 50 percent or more. All are listed on the New York Stock Exchange. [See Table 9.]

A Sampling of Bargain Stocks

Company	Stockholders' Equity (millions)	Total Assets (millions)	Equity-Asset Ratio (%)	P-E Ratio (8/16/76)	Recent Price (8/16/76)
Amalgamated Sugar	\$ 92	\$120	77	3	36 ⁷ / ₈
Ampco-Pittsburgh	50	65	77	7	10
Amstar	230	441	52	6	44 ¹ / ₄
Blue Bell	164	302	54	5	39 ⁷ / ₈
Federal Co.	81	124	65	4	25 ⁵ / ₈
Federal Paper Board	153	291	53	5	37 ³ / ₄
Gordon Jewelry	82	147	55	5	10 ³ / ₄
Graniteville Co.	80	117	69	4	13 ³ / ₄
Harsco Corp.	206	358	58	6	22 ⁷ / ₈
Houdaille Industries	126	190	66	6	16 ¹ / ₈
Houghton Mifflin	54	87	62	6	12
Hughes & Hatcher	26	47	54	6	7
Jantzen	40	65	62	5	18 ¹ / ₄
Jorgensen (Earle M.)	78	122	64	5	37
Lane Bryant	76	137	55	6	11 ³ / ₄
Leslie Fay	31	62	50	6	8
McCord Corp.	48	68	71	6	16
Michigan Seamless Tube	42	65	65	6	20 ¹ / ₂
Murray Ohio	47	78	60	7	20 ¹ / ₄
Norris Industries	119	196	61	6	37 ³ / ₄
Omark Industries	78	129	60	6	11 ³ / ₄
Reeves Brothers	73	108	68	6	30
Riegel Textile	82	148	56	5	16 ³ / ₄
Russ Togs	48	64	75	6	10 ⁵ / ₈
Sparton Corp.	23	35	66	6	8 ¹ / ₄
Uarco	57	87	66	6	21
Wallace-Murray	105	209	50	7	18 ³ / ₈
Western Publishing	103	163	63	6	16 ³ / ₄
Weyenberg Shoe Mfg.	23	40	57	7	23
Zale Corp.	292	181	61	7	17

The[se] . . . stocks meet the selection criteria recommended by Benjamin Graham in the accompanying article—a P-E ratio of seven or less and an equity-asset ratio of 50 percent or more. All are listed on the New York Stock Exchange.